



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/759,540	01/12/2001	Subramanian Srinivasan	CIS00-3839	1172	
7590 07/20/2005		EXAMINER			
Barry W. Chapin, Esq.			JARRETT,	JARRETT, SCOTT L	
Chapin & Huang, L.L.C. Westborough Office Park		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1700 West Park Drive			3623		
Westborough,	MA 01581		DATE MAILED: 07/20/2005	DATE MAILED: 07/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before	the Filing of	f an Appeal	Brief			

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/759,540	SRINIVASAN, SUBRAMANIAN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Scott L. Jarrett	3623		

•	Scott L. Jarrett	3623	*
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of Appeal fee) in the same of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of Appeal fee in the same of Appeal	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	ng date of the final reject E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr jinally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>	ow);		the issues for
appeal; and/or	corresponding number of finally rei	icated alaims	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ampliant Amendment	(DTOL 324) *
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ul>		Impliant Amendment	(FTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•	ř	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	at before or on the date of filing a N ad sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ince because:
See Continuation Sheet.	/DTO/SB/08 or DTO 4440\ Danor !	No(e)	
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(F10/36/06 01 F10-1449) Faper1	10(3).	
13. [ ] Other		- M	1
		TARIO D HALLY	\ .
	CLIDE	DUICODY DATENT EV	/ABAINIED

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendments to all pending claims - Claims 1-12, 14-27 and 29-34 (claims 13 and 28 being previously canceled), raise new issues that require further consideration and/or search. The amendments include new limitations not previously claimed.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that examiner states QAD does not teach processing changes to order (purchase orders; remarks page 17, paragraph 3). Examiner respectfully disagrees, in the office action of April 11, 2005, examiner clearly states that QAD teaches a complete order management system and method wherein the order management process includes change order processing as evidenced at least by QAD's support of the well known EDI standard; the EDI standard being well known to support purchase orders and changes to those purchase orders in a supply chain (Pages 8-9). It is further noted that EDI's support of change orders is an officially noticed and unchallenged fact (Page 6). Examiner did state that "QAD is silent on the process or steps utilized by their eB and eQ order management solutions for processing changes to order." (Page 9). The Orr reference, in an analgous art of order management, provides a clear recitation of the change order processing process and steps (Pages 9-10).